1	THE LAW OFFICE OF JACK	BARNES & THORNBURG LLP	
2	FITZGERALD, PC	DAVID C. ALLEN (SBN 190479)	
	JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370)	david.allen@btlaw.com	
3	jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com	KEVIN D. RISING	
4	TREVOR M. FLYNN (SBN 253362) trevor@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com	kevin.rising@btlaw.com SARAH E. JOHNSTON	
5	TRAN NGUYEN (SBN 301593)	sarah.johnston@btlaw.com	
6	tran@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com	JADE F. JURDI	
	Hillcrest Professional Building	jade.jurdi@btlaw.com	
7	3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202 San Diego, California 92103	2029 Century Park East, Suite 300 Los Angeles, California 90067	
8	Phone: (619) 692-3840	Phone: (310) 284-3880	
9	Fax: (619) 362-9555	Fax: (310) 284-3894	
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the	Counsel for Defendant Walgreen Co.	
11	Putative Class		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	GARY REYNOLDS and ROBERT		
15	MASON, on behalf of themselves and	Case No.: 15-cv-324-JSW	
16	others similarly situated,		
17	DI : .:cc	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER	
	Plaintiffs,	EXTENDING PLAINTIFF'S TIME TO RESPOND AND CONTINUING	
18	v.	HEARING ON WALGREEN'S MOTION	
19	\ .	TO DISMISS	
20	WALGREEN CO.,		
		[N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-1(b), 6-2, 7-12]	
21	Defendant.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
۷۵ ا			

Reynolds et al. v. Walgreen Co., No. 15-cv-324-JSW STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO MTD

Pursuant to the United States District Court, N	Torthern District of California Local	
Rules, Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, the parties stipulate as follows.		
WHEREAS, plaintiff filed this action on January	23, 2015 (Dkt. No. 1), and defendant	
moved to dismiss it on March 11, 2015 (Dkt. No. 15);		
WHEREAS, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-3(a), plaintiff's response to defendant's	
motion to dismiss is currently due on March 25, 2015;		
WHEREAS, plaintiff has requested, and defenda	ant has agreed, to a 14-day extension	
of plaintiff's time to respond to the motion to dismiss;		
WHEREAS, the parties agree there should be a co	orresponding continuance of the April	
17, 2015 hearing date defendant originally noticed;		
WHEREAS, defendant is unavailable for a May 1, 2015 hearing; and		
WHEREAS, no current Court-ordered event dates or deadlines are affected by this		
stipulation.		
NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES:		
Upon the Court's Order, plaintiff's deadline for responding to defendant's motion to		
dismiss shall be April 8, 2015. Defendant's deadline for filing a reply, if any, shall be April		
15, 2015. The hearing on defendant's motion to dismiss	s shall be continued to May 8, 2015.	
IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
Dated: March 20, 2015	Dated: March 20, 2015	
/s/ Jack Fitzgerald	/s/ Sarah E. Johnston	
Jack Fitzgerald Counsel for Plaintiff	Sarah E. Johnston On Behalf of Defendant	
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORI	DERED	
Dated: March 23 , 2015	Geffrey S. White	
Unit	ted States District Judge	

Attestation Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5.1(i)

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 5.1(i), I, Jack Fitzgerald, hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatories to this documents.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this 20th day of March, 2015, in San Diego, California.

/s/ Jack Fitzgerald
Jack Fitzgerald

1	THE LAW OFFICE OF JACK		
2	FITZGERALD, PC		
3	JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370) jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com		
4	TREVOR M. FLYNN (SBN 253362)		
	trevor@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com		
5	TRAN NGUYEN (SBN 301593) tran@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com		
6	Hillcrest Professional Building		
7	3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202		
8	San Diego, California 92103 Phone: (619) 692-3840		
9	Fax: (619) 362-9555		
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the		
11	Putative Class		
12	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
	GARY REYNOLDS and ROBERT	Case No.: 15-cv-324-JSW	
15	MASON, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF JACK	
16	others similarly situated,	FITZGERALD IN SUPPORT OF	
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER	
18	V.	EXTENDING PLAINTIFF'S TIME TO RESPOND AND CONTINUING	
19	,	HEARING ON WALGREEN'S MOTION	
20	WALGREEN CO.,	TO DISMISS	
21	Defendant.	[N.D. CAL. CIV. L.R. 6-2]	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I, Jack Fitzgerald, declare:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the State Bars of California and New York; and of the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central, and Southern Districts of California, the Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts of New York, and the Western District of Wisconsin; and of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. I make this Declaration pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 6-2(a), based on my own personal knowledge.
- 2. Plaintiff has requested an enlargement of time in which to respond to Walgreen's motion to dismiss in order to better consider Walgreen's jurisdictional arguments and explore a possible early resolution. Walgreens has stipulated to continuing the hearing date to May 8, 2015 (because Walgreens' counsel is unavailable on May 1), and extending plaintiff's response due date by two weeks, to April 8, 2015 (and correspondingly, Walgreens' deadline to reply to April 15, 2015).
- The parties previously stipulated to an extension of Walgreen's time to respond 3. to the Complaint. (Dkt. No. 9.)
- The requested modification will push out by two weeks the hearing on 4. Walgreen's motion to dismiss, but should not otherwise affect the case as it is in its beginning stages and there has been no scheduling order issue yet.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 20th day of March, 2015, in San Diego, California.

/s/Jack Fitzgerald Jack Fitzgerald

26 27